REMARKS

Responsive to the objection to the drawings, as set forth in paragraph 1 of the office action, substitute drawing sheets bearing Figs. 2 and 3 are submitted herewith.

Responsive to the objections to the specification, as set forth in paragraph 2 of the office action, a "Substitute Specification and Abstract" is submitted herewith to address the errors noted by the examiner, other errors noted by the undersigned and further to place the case in generally better English form. The "Substitute Specification and Abstract" contains no new matter. In order that the examiner can satisfy himself in this regard, also submitted herewith is a marked-up copy of the original specification and abstract, from which the "Substitute Specification and Abstract" was typed.

It is respectfully submitted that the prior art rejections are now moot in view of the present amendments to the claims which limit all pending claims to subject matter indicated to be allowable. Specifically, claim 1 has been amended to define the "fixing" as one of the three types of structures recited by allowable claims 7, 8 and 9. Claim 2 has been amended in similar fashion. Allowable claim 18 has been rewritten in independent form. New claim 20 combines the limitations of original claim 2 with those of allowable claim 18. Finally, new claims 21-30 find corresponding description at page 4, lines 3-13 and at page 10, lines 1-4 of applicants' original specification.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted

George A. Loud Reg. No. 25,814

Dated: March 11, 2005

LORUSSO, LOUD & KELLY 3137 Mount Vernon Avenue Alexandria, VA 22305

(703) 739-9393